

Dedicated to the memory of Ansgar Schnizer

COMPLETENESS OF BETHE'S STATES FOR GENERALIZED XXZ MODEL

ANATOL N. KIRILLOV

*Steklov Mathematical Institute,
Fontanka 27, St.Petersburg, 191011, Russia*

NADEJDA A. LISKOVA

*St.Petersburg Institute of Aviation Instruments,
Gertzena 67, St.Petersburg, 190000, Russia*

ABSTRACT

We study the Bethe ansatz equations for a generalized XXZ model on a one-dimensional lattice. Assuming the string conjecture we propose an integer version for vacancy numbers and prove a combinatorial completeness of Bethe's states for a generalized XXZ model. We find an exact form for inverse matrix related with vacancy numbers and compute its determinant. This inverse matrix has a tridiagonal form, generalizing the Cartan matrix of type A .

§1. Introduction.

An integrable generalization of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg XXZ model to arbitrary spins was given e.g. in [KR2]. As a matter of fact, a spectrum of the generalized XXZ model is described by the solutions $\{\lambda_i\}$ to the following system of equations ($1 \leq j \leq l$)

$$\prod_{a=1}^N \frac{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2}(\lambda_j + 2is_a)}{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2}(\lambda_j - 2is_a)} = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^l \frac{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k + 2i)}{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2}(\lambda_j - \lambda_k - 2i)}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here θ is an anisotropy parameter, s_a , $1 \leq a \leq N$, are the spins of atoms in the magnetic chain and l is the number of magnons over the ferromagnetic vacuum.

The main goal of our paper is to present a computation the number of solutions of system (1.1) based on the so-called string conjecture (see e.g. [TS], [KR1]). In spite of the well-known fact that solutions of (1.1) do not have in general a "string nature" (see e.g. [EKK]), we prove that the string conjecture gives a correct answer for the number of solutions to the system of equations (1.1). Note that a combinatorial completeness of Bethe's states for generalized XXX Heisenberg model was proved in [K1] and appear to be a starting point for numerous applications to combinatorics of Young tableaux and representation theory of symmetric and general linear groups (see e.g. [K2]).

§2. Analysis of the Bethe equations.

Let us consider the XXZ model of spins $s_1, \dots, s_k$ interacting on one-dimensional lattice with the each spin s_i repeated N_i times. In the standard XXZ model all spins s_i are equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. Let Δ be the anisotropic parameter (see e.g. [TS], [KR2]). We assume that $0 < \theta < 1$. Let us pick out a real number θ such that $\cos \theta = \Delta$, $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, and denote

$$p_0 = \frac{\pi}{\theta} > 2.$$

Each spin s has a “parity” v_{2s} which is equal to plus or minus one.

Bethe vectors $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_l)$ for XXZ model are parametrized by l complex numbers $x_j \pmod{2p_0 i}$ ($l \leq 2s_1 N_1 + \dots + 2s_k N_k$), which satisfy the following system of transcendental equations (Bethe’s equations)

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{m=1}^k (-1)^{N_m v_{2s_m}} \left(\frac{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2} (x_\alpha + \eta_m - i(2s_m + \frac{1}{2}(1 - v_{2s_m})p_0))}{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2} (x_\alpha + \eta_m + i(2s_m + \frac{1}{2}(1 - v_{2s_m})p_0))} \right)^{N_m} = \\ = - \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2} (x_\alpha - x_j - 2i)}{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2} (x_\alpha - x_j + 2i)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\alpha = 1, \dots, l$, and $\{\eta_m\}$ are some fixed real numbers,

and nondegeneracy conditions: the norm of Bethe’s vectors ψ is not equal to zero.

Solutions to the system (2.1) are considered modulo $2p_0 i \mathbf{Z}$, because $\sinh(\frac{\theta}{2}x)$ is a periodic function with the period $2p_0 i$. Asymptotically for $N_m \rightarrow \infty$, $1 \leq m \leq k$ and finite l the solutions to the system (2.1) create the strings. The strings are characterized by common real abscissa which is called the string center, the length n and parity v_n . Centers of the even strings are located on the line $\text{Im } x = 0$ (and $v_n = +1$), those of odd strings are located on the line $\text{Im } x = p_0$ (and $v_n = -1$). A string of length n and parity v_n consists of n complex numbers $x_{\beta,j}^n$ of the following form

$$x_{\beta,j}^n = x_\beta^n + i(n + 1 - 2j + \frac{1 - v_n}{2}p_0) + O(\exp(-\delta N)) \pmod{2p_0 i}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\delta > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, $x_\beta^n \in \mathbf{R}$.

A distribution of numbers $\{x_j\}$ on strings is called configuration. Each configuration can be parametrized by the filling numbers $\{\lambda_n\}$, where λ_n is equal to the number of strings with length n and parity v_n . Each real solution of the system (2.1), (modulo $2p_0 i$), corresponds to an even string of length 1. Configuration parameters $\{\lambda_n\}$, $n \geq 1$ satisfy the following conditions: $\lambda_n \geq 0$, $\sum_{n \geq 1} n \lambda_n = l$. The system (2.1) can be transformed into

that for real numbers x_β^n for each fixed configuration. To get such system, let us calculate the scattering phase $\theta_{n,m}(x)$ of the string length n on that of length m . By definition

$$\exp(-2\pi i \theta_{n,m}(x)) = \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2} (x_{\alpha,j}^n - x_{\beta,k}^m - 2i)}{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2} (x_{\alpha,j}^n - x_{\beta,k}^m + 2i)}, \quad x := x_\alpha^n - x_\beta^m. \quad (2.3)$$

From the formulae

$$\operatorname{Im} \log \left(\frac{\sinh(\lambda + ai)}{\sinh(\mu + bi)} \right) = \arctan(\tanh \mu \cdot \cot b) - \arctan(\tanh \lambda \cdot \cot a), \quad (2.4)$$

where $a, b, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} -\pi \theta_{n,m}(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \arctan \left(\tanh \frac{\theta x}{2} \cot \frac{\theta}{2} (n - m - 2j + 2k + \frac{1}{2}(v_m - v_n)p_0) \right) = \\ &= \arctan(\tanh \frac{\theta x}{2} \cdot \cot \frac{\theta}{2} (m + n + \frac{1}{2}(1 - v_n v_m)p_0)) + \\ &+ \arctan(\tanh \frac{\theta x}{2} \cdot \cot \frac{\theta}{2} (|n - m| + \frac{1}{2}(1 - v_n v_m)p_0)) + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{s=1}^{\min(n,m)-1} \arctan(\tanh \frac{\theta x}{2} \cdot \cot \frac{\theta}{2} (|n - m| + 2s + \frac{1}{2}(1 - v_n v_m)p_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Now let us consider the limit of $\theta_{n,m}(x)$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$. Note that for $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\tanh \frac{\theta x}{2} \rightarrow 1$, and $\arctan(\cot z) = -\pi((\frac{z}{\pi}))$, if $\frac{z}{\pi} \notin \mathbf{Z}$, where $((z))$ is the Dedekind function:

$$((z)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } z \in \mathbf{Z}, \\ \{z\} - \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } z \notin \mathbf{Z}, \end{cases}$$

and $\{z\} = z - [z]$ is the fractional part of z . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{n,m}(\infty) &= \left(\left(\frac{n+m}{2p_0} + \frac{1-v_n v_m}{4} \right) \right) + \left(\left(\frac{|n-m|}{2p_0} + \frac{1-v_n v_m}{4} \right) \right) + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{l=1}^{\min(n,m)-1} \left(\left(\frac{|n-m|+2l}{2p_0} + \frac{1-v_n v_m}{4} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$\Phi_{n,m}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^n \log \frac{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2} (x_{\alpha,j}^n + \eta - mi - \frac{1}{2}(1 - v_m)p_0 i)}{\sinh \frac{\theta}{2} (x_{\alpha,j}^n + \eta + mi + \frac{1}{2}(1 - v_m)p_0 i)},$$

$$\lambda := x_{\alpha}^n + \eta, \quad \eta \in \mathbf{R},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{n,m}(\lambda) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n 2 \cdot \arctan(\tanh \frac{\theta x}{2} \cot \frac{\theta}{2} (n - m + 1 - 2j + \frac{1}{2}(v_m - v_n)p_0)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{l=1}^{\min(n,m)} \arctan(\tanh \frac{\theta x}{2} \cot \frac{\theta}{2} (|n - m| + 2l - 1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - v_n v_m)p_0)) \end{aligned}$$

and, consequently,

$$\Phi_{n,m}(\infty) = \sum_{l=1}^{\min(n,m)} \left(\left(\frac{|n-m|+2l-1}{2p_0} + \frac{1-v_nv_m}{4} \right) \right). \quad (2.5)$$

Now let us continue the investigation of system (2.1). Multiplying the equations of the system (2.1) along the string $x_{\alpha,j}^n$ and logarithming the result, one can obtain the following system on real numbers x_α^n , $\alpha = 1, \dots, \lambda_n$:

$$\sum_m \Phi_{n,2s_m}(x_\alpha^n + \eta_m) N_m = Q_\alpha^n + \sum_{(\beta,m) \neq (\alpha,n)} \theta_{n,m}(x_\alpha^n - x_\beta^m), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, \lambda_n. \quad (2.6)$$

Integer or half-integer numbers Q_α^n , $1 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda_n$, are called quantum numbers. They parametrize — according to the string conjecture [TS], [FT], [K1] — the solutions to the system (2.1). Admissible values of quantum numbers Q_α^n are located in symmetric interval $[-Q_{\max}^n, Q_{\max}^n]$ and appear to be an integer or half-integer in accordance with that of $Q_{\max}^n$.

§3. Calculation of vacancy numbers.

Following [TS], we will assume that there are two types of length 1 string, namely even and odd types. If the length n of a string is greater than 1, then n and parity v_n satisfy the following conditions

$$v_n \cdot \sin(n-1)\theta > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$v_n \cdot \sin(j\theta) \sin(n-j)\theta > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (3.2)$$

Condition (3.1) may be rewritten equivalently as

$$v_n = \exp(\pi i \left[\frac{n-1}{p_0} \right]),$$

and (3.2) as

$$\left[\frac{j}{p_0} \right] + \left[\frac{n-j}{p_0} \right] = \left[\frac{n-1}{p_0} \right], \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (3.3)$$

The set of integer numbers n satisfying (3.3) for fixed $p_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ may be described by the following construction (see e.g. [TS], [KR1], [KR2]).

Let us define a sequence of real numbers p_i and sequences of integer numbers ν_i , m_i , y_i :

$$p_0 = \frac{\pi}{\theta}, \quad p_1 = 1, \quad \nu_i = \left[\frac{p_i}{p_{i+1}} \right], \quad p_{i+1} = p_{i-1} - \nu_{i-1} p_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.4)$$

$$y_{-1} = 0, \quad y_0 = 1, \quad y_1 = \nu_0, \quad y_{i+1} = y_{i-1} + \nu_i y_i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.5)$$

$$m_0 = 0, \quad m_1 = \nu_0, \quad m_{i+1} = m_i + \nu_i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.6)$$

It is clear that integer numbers ν_i define the decomposition of p_0 into a continuous fraction

$$p_0 = [\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_2 \dots].$$

Let us define a piecewise linear function n_t , $t \geq 1$

$$n_t = y_{i-1} + (t - m_i)y_i, \quad \text{if } m_i \leq t < m_{i+1}.$$

Then for any integer $n > 1$ there exist the unique rational number t such that $n = n_t$.

Lemma 3.1 [KR1]. *Integer number $n > 1$ satisfies (3.3) if and only if there exists an integer number t such that $n = n_t$.*

We have two types of length 1 strings :

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha^1 & \text{ with parity } v_1 = +1, \\ x_\alpha^{m_1} & \text{ with parity } v_{m_1} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

All others strings have a length $n = n_j$, for some integer j , and parity

$$v_j = v_{n_j} = \exp \left(\pi i \left[\frac{n_j - 1}{p_0} \right] \right).$$

Let us assume that all spins s_i have the following form

$$2s_i = n_{\chi_i} - 1, \quad \chi_i \in \mathbf{Z}_+. \quad (3.7)$$

From the assumptions (3.1), (3.3) and (3.7) about spins, length and parity it follows a simple expression for the sums $\theta_{n,m}(\infty)$ and $\Phi_{n,2s}(\infty)$.

In our paper we consider a special case of rational p_0 . The case of irrational p_0 may be obtained as a limit. So, we assume that $p_0 = \frac{u}{v} \in \mathbf{Q}$, $p_0 = [\nu_0, \dots, \nu_\alpha]$, $\nu_0 \geq 2$, $\nu_\alpha \geq 2$. Furthermore we assume that all strings have a length not greater than u (see [TS]). Therefore for numbers p_i, ν_i, y_i, m_i , (see (3.4)–(3.6)) it is enough to keep only the indices $i \leq \alpha + 1$. We have also

$$p_{\alpha+1} = \frac{1}{y_\alpha}, \quad p_0 = \frac{y_{\alpha+1}}{y_\alpha}, \quad \text{and g.c.d. } (y_\alpha, y_{\alpha+1}) = 1.$$

Now we will state the results of calculations for the sums $\theta_{n,m}(\infty)$ and $\Phi_{n,m}(\infty)$. Let us introduce

$$\begin{aligned} q_j &= (-1)^i (p_i - (j - m_i)p_{i+1}), \quad \text{if } m_i \leq j < m_{i+1}, \\ r(j) &= i, \quad \text{if } m_i \leq j < m_{i+1}, \\ b_{jk} &= \frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{p_0} (q_k n_j - q_j n_k), \quad \text{if } n_j < n_k, \\ b_{j,m_{i+1}} &= 1, \quad m_i < j < m_{i+1}, \\ \theta_{j,k} &= \theta_{n_j, n_k}(\infty), \quad \Phi_{j,2s} = \Phi_{n_j, 2s}(\infty). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Theorem 3.2. (Calculation of the sums $\theta_{j,k}$, $\Phi_{j,2s}$) [KR1].

1. If $k > j$, and $(j, k) \neq (m_{\alpha+1} - 1, m_{\alpha+1})$ then

$$\theta_{jk} = -n_j \frac{q_k}{p_0}.$$

2. If $j = m_{\alpha+1} - 1$, $k = m_{\alpha+1}$, then

$$\theta_{jk} = -n_j \frac{q_k}{p_0} + \frac{(-1)^{\alpha+1}}{2} = (-1)^\alpha \frac{p_0 - 2}{2p_0}.$$

3. If $1 \leq j \leq m_{\alpha+1}$, then

$$\theta_{jj} = -n_j \frac{q_j}{p_0} + \frac{(-1)^{r(j)}}{2}.$$

4. (Symmetry). For all $1 \leq j, k \leq m_{\alpha+1}$:

$$\theta_{jk} = \theta_{kj}$$

5. If $2s = n_\chi - 1$, then

$$\Phi_{k,2s} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2p_0}(q_k - q_k n_\chi), & \text{if } n_k > 2s, \\ \frac{1}{2p_0}(q_k - q_\chi n_k) + \frac{(-1)^{r(k)-1}}{2}, & \text{if } n_k \leq 2s. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $p_0 = \nu_0$ is an integer then

$$2\Phi_{k,2s} = \begin{cases} \frac{2sk}{p_0} - \min(k, 2s), & \text{if } 1 \leq k, 2s + 1 < \nu_0, \\ 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq \nu_0, 2s + 1 > \nu_0. \end{cases}$$

Now we are going to calculate the vacancy numbers. By definition the vacancy numbers are equal to

$$P_{n_j}(\lambda) = 2Q_{\max}^{n_j} - \lambda_j + 1, \quad \text{where} \\ Q_{\max}^{n_j} = (-1)^{i-1} \left(Q_\infty^{n_j} - \theta_{jj} - \frac{n_j}{2} \left\{ \frac{\sum 2s_m N_m - 2l}{p_0} \right\} \right) - \frac{1}{2}, \quad m_i \leq j < m_{i+1},$$

and $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of real number x .

Here we put

$$Q_\infty^{n_j} = \sum_k \Phi_{n_j, 2s_k} N_k - \sum_k \theta_{n_j n_k} \lambda_k + \theta_{n_j n_j}.$$

Let us say few words about our definition of vacancy numbers P_{n_j} . In contrast with the XXX model situation, it happens that the vector $x = (\infty, \dots, \infty)$ for the XXZ case does not appear to be a formal solution to the Bethe equations (2.1). Another difficulty appears in finding a correct boundary for quantum numbers Q_α^n (see (2.6)). A natural boundary is $Q_\infty^{n_j}$ but this number does not appear to be an integer or half-integer one in general. Our choice is based on attempt to have a combinatorial completeness of Bethe's states and some analytical considerations. In the sequel we will use the notations $P_j(\lambda), Q_\infty^j, Q_{\max}^j, \dots$ instead of $P_{n_j}(\lambda), Q_\infty^{n_j}, Q_{\max}^{n_j}, \dots$

After a tedious calculations one can find

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(\lambda) &= a_j + 2 \sum_{k>j} b_{jk} \lambda_k, \quad j \neq m_{\alpha+1} - 1, \quad m_{\alpha+1}, \\ P_{m_{\alpha+1}-1}(\lambda) &= a_{m_{\alpha+1}-1} + \lambda_{m_{\alpha+1}}, \\ P_{m_{\alpha+1}}(\lambda) &= a_{m_{\alpha+1}} + \lambda_{m_{\alpha+1}-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$a_j = (-1)^{i-1} \left(\sum_m 2\Phi_{j,2s_m} \cdot N_m + \frac{2lq_j}{p_0} - n_j \left\{ \frac{\sum 2s_m N_m - 2l}{p_0} \right\} \right),$$

and b_{jk} for $n_j < n_k$ are defined in (3.8).

From the string conjecture (see [TS], [KR2]) it follows that the number of Bethe's vectors with configuration $\{\lambda_k\}$ is equal to

$$Z(N, s|\{\lambda_k\}) = \prod_j \binom{P_j(\lambda) + \lambda_j}{\lambda_j}.$$

The number of Bethe's vectors with fixed l is equal to

$$Z(N, s|l) = \sum_{\{\lambda_k\}} Z(N, s|\{\lambda_k\}), \tag{3.10}$$

where summation is taken over all configurations $\{\lambda_k\}$, such that $\lambda_k \geq 0$, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m_{\alpha+1}} n_k \lambda_k = l. \tag{3.11}$$

So, the total number of Bethe's vectors is equal to

$$Z = Z(N, s) = \sum_l Z(N, s|l), \quad \text{where we assume that} \tag{3.12}$$

$$Z(N, s|l) := Z(N, s|\sum 2s_m N_m - l), \quad \text{for } l \geq \sum s_m N_m.$$

The conjecture about combinatorial completeness of Bethe's states for XXZ model means that

$$Z = \prod_m (2s_m + 1)^{N_m}.$$

§4. The main combinatorial identity.

Let $a_0 = 0$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m_{\alpha+1}}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Then we shall define inductively a sequence $b_2, \dots, b_{m_1-1}, b_{m_1+1}, \dots, b_{m_{\alpha+1}}, b_{m_{\alpha+2}}$ by the following rules

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= 2a_{k-1} - a_{k-2} - a_k, \quad \text{if } k \neq m_i, \quad k \geq 2, \\ b_{m_i+1} &= 2a_{m_i-1} - a_{m_i-2} - a_{m_i+1}, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \alpha, \\ b_{m_{\alpha+2}} &= a_{m_{\alpha+1}-1} - a_{m_{\alpha+1}-2} + a_{m_{\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then one can check that the converse formulae are

$$a_j = (-1)^{r(j)} \left(\frac{n_j}{p_0} q_{m_{\alpha+1}} (a_{m-1} - a_m) - 2 \sum_k \Phi_{jk} \cdot b_k \right),$$

where Φ_{jk} were defined in (2.5).

For given configuration $\{\lambda_n\} = \lambda$ let us define the vacancy numbers

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(\lambda) &= a_j + 2 \sum_{k>j} b_{jk} \lambda_k, \quad j \neq m_{\alpha+1} - 1, \\ P_{m_{\alpha+1}-1}(\lambda) &= a_{m_{\alpha+1}-1} + \lambda_{m_{\alpha+1}}, \\ P_{m_{\alpha+1}}(\lambda) &= a_{m_{\alpha+1}} + \lambda_{m_{\alpha+1}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us put

$$Z(\{a_k\} | l) = \sum_{\{\lambda_k\}} \prod_{k=1}^{m_{\alpha+1}} \binom{P_k(\lambda) + \lambda_k}{\lambda_k},$$

where summation is taken over all configurations $\{\lambda_k\}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m n_k \lambda_k = l.$$

Remind that a binomial coefficient $\binom{\alpha}{\nu}$ for real α and integer positive ν is defined as

$$\binom{\alpha}{\nu} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-\nu+1)}{\nu!}.$$

Theorem 4.1. (The main combinatorial identity) *We have*

$$Z(\{a_k\} | l) = \text{Res}_{u=0} f(u) u^{-l-1} du,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= (1+u)^{2l+2a_1-a_2} \prod_{k \neq m_i} \left(\frac{1-u^{n_k}}{1-u} \right)^{2a_{k-1}-a_k-a_{k-2}} \cdot \\ &\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1-u^{y_i}}{1-u} \right)^{2a_{m_i-1}-a_{m_i-2}-a_{m_i+1}} \left(\frac{1-u^{y_{\alpha+1}}}{1-u} \right)^{a_{m_{\alpha+1}}+a_{m_{\alpha+1}-1}-a_{m_{\alpha+1}-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall divide the proof into few steps.

I step. Let us put $m_{\alpha+1} = m$. We define a sequence of formal power series $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ in variables $z_1, \dots, z_m, z_0$ by the following rules:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_m(z_m) &= (1 - z_m)^{-(a_m+1)} (1 - z_0(1 - z_m)^{-1})^{-1}, \\
 \varphi_{m-1}(z_{m-1}, z_m) &= (1 - z_{m-1})^{-(a_{m-1}+1)} \varphi_m((1 - z_{m-1})^{-1} z_m), \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 \varphi_k(z_k, \dots, z_m) &= (1 - z_k)^{-(a_k+1)} \varphi_{k+1}((1 - z_k)^{-2b_{k,k+1}} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot z_{k+1}, \dots, (1 - z_k)^{-2b_{k,l}} z_l, \dots, (1 - z_k)^{-2b_{k,m}} z_m), \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 \varphi_1(z_1, \dots, z_m) &= (1 - z_1)^{-(a_1+1)} \varphi_2((1 - z_1)^{-2b_{1,2}} z_2, \dots, (1 - z_1)^{-2b_{1,l}} \cdot \\
 &\quad \cdot z_l, \dots, (1 - z_1)^{-2b_{1,m}} z_m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *In the power series $\varphi_1(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ a coefficient before $z_0^{\nu_0} z_1^{\nu_1} \dots z_m^{\nu_m}$ is equal to*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \binom{P_j(\nu) + \nu_j}{\nu_j} \cdot \binom{a_m + \nu_m + \nu_0}{\nu_m}.$$

Proof.

$$\varphi_m(z_m) = \sum_{\nu_0, \nu_m} z_0^{\nu_0} z_m^{\nu_m} \binom{a_m + \nu_m + \nu_0}{\nu_m}.$$

Let us assume that

$$\varphi_k(z_k, \dots, z_m) = \sum_{\nu_0, \nu_k, \dots, \nu_m} A_k(\nu_k, \dots, \nu_m; \nu_0) z_0^{\nu_0} z_k^{\nu_k} \dots z_m^{\nu_m},$$

then $\varphi_{k-1}(z_{k-1}, \dots, z_m) =$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (1 - z_{k-1})^{-(a_{k-1}+1)} \varphi_k((1 - z_k)^{-2b_{k,k+1}} z_{k+1}, \dots, (1 - z_k)^{-2b_{k,m}} z_m) = \\
 &= \sum_{\nu_0, \nu_k, \dots, \nu_m} A_k(\nu_k, \dots, \nu_m; \nu_0) (1 - z_{k-1})^{-(p_{k-1}(\nu)+1)} z_0^{\nu_0} z_k^{\nu_k} \dots z_m^{\nu_m} = \\
 &= \sum_{\nu_0, \nu_{k-1}, \dots, \nu_m} A_k(\nu_k, \dots, \nu_m; \nu_0) \binom{P_{k-1}(\nu) + \nu_{k-1}}{\nu_{k-1}} z_0^{\nu_0} z_{k-1}^{\nu_{k-1}} \dots z_m^{\nu_m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$A_{k-1}(\nu_{k-1}, \nu_k, \dots, \nu_m; \nu_0) = A_k(\nu_k, \dots, \nu_m; \nu_0) \cdot \binom{P_{k-1}(\nu) + \nu_{k-1}}{\nu_{k-1}}.$$

■

From Lemma 4.2 it follows that the sum $Z(\{a\}|l)$ is equal to the coefficient before t^l in the power series of $\psi(z, t)$, which has been obtained from $\varphi_1(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ after substitution

$$\begin{aligned} z_j &= t^{n_j}, \quad j \neq m-1, \\ z_{m-1} &= t^{n_{m-1}} z_0^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

II step. Calculation of the power series for $\psi(z, t)$.

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} z_k^{(l)} &:= (1 - z_l^{(l-1)})^{-2b_{l,k}} \cdot z_k^{(l-1)}, \quad l \geq 1, \\ z_k^{(0)} &= t^{n_k}, \quad \text{if } k \neq m-1 \quad \text{and} \quad z_{m-1}^{(0)} = t^{n_{m-1}} z_0^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(z_1, \dots, z_m) &= (1 - z_1)^{-(a_1+1)} \varphi_2(z_2^{(1)}, z_3^{(1)}, \dots, z_m^{(1)}) = \\ &= (1 - z_1)^{-(a_1+1)} (1 - z_2^{(1)})^{-(a_2+1)} \varphi_3(z_3^{(2)}, z_4^{(2)}, \dots, z_m^{(2)}) = \dots \\ &\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (1 - z_j^{(j-1)})^{-(a_j+1)} \cdot \varphi_{m-1}(z_{m-1}^{(m-2)}, z_m^{(m-2)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

In order to compute a formal series $z_k^{(l)}$, we define (see e.g. [K1]) a sequence of polynomials $Q_m(t)$ using the following recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{m+1}(t) &= Q_m(t) - tQ_{m-1}(t), \quad m \geq 0, \\ Q_0(t) &= Q_{-1}(t) := 1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. (Formulae for power series $z_k^{(l)}$).

Let us assume that $m_i \leq k < m_{i+1}$ and put $m_0 := 1$. Then we have ($Q_k := Q_k(t)$)

1. $z_k^{(k-1)} = Q_{k-1}^{-2} Q_{m_i-2} z_k^{(0)}$,
2. $1 - z_k^{(k-1)} = Q_k Q_{k-1}^{-2} Q_{k-2}$, if $k \neq m_i$.
3. If $k = m_i$, $i \geq 1$, then $1 - z_k^{(k-1)} = Q_k Q_{k-1}^{-2} Q_{m_{i-1}-2}$.
4. After specialization $t := \frac{u}{(1+u)^2}$ one can find (remind that $m_i \leq k < m_{i+1}$)

$$Q_k(u) = 1 - \frac{1 - u^{n_k+2y_i}}{(1-u)(1+u)^{n_k+2y_i-1}}.$$

5. If $k \neq m_i + 1$ and $m_i \leq k < m_{i+1}$, then

$$z_k^{(k-2)} = Q_{k-3}^2 Q_{k-2}^{-4} Q_{m_i-2}^2 z_k^{(0)}.$$

Proof follows by induction from (4.1) and properties of polynomials $Q_k(t)$ (compare [K1], Lemma 2). ■

Corollary 4.4.

1. $z_m^{(m-2)} = Q_{m-3}^2 Q_{m-2}^{-2} t^{n_m}$, $z_{m-1}^{(m-2)} = Q_{m-2}^{-2} Q_{m_{\alpha-2}}^2 t^{n_{m-1}} z_0^{-1}$.
2. Let us denote by $\varphi_{m-1}(u, z_0)$ a specialization $t = \frac{u}{(1-u)^2}$ of formal series $\varphi_{m-1}(z_{m-1}^{(m-2)}, z_m^{(m-1)})$ and let $\varphi_{m-1}(u)$ be a constant term of series $\varphi_{m-1}(u, z_0)$ w.r.t. variable z_0 . Then

$$\varphi_{m-1}(u) = (1 - u^{y_{\alpha+1}})^{a_m + a_{m-1} + 1} (1 - u^{y_{\alpha+1} - y_{\alpha}})^{-(a_{m-1} + 1)} (1 - u^{y_{\alpha}})^{-(a_m + 1)}.$$

Remind that $m = m_{\alpha+1}$.

III step. Combining (4.2), Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 after some simplifications we obtain a proof of Theorem 4.1. ■

Corollary 4.5. (Combinatorial completeness of Bethe's states for XXZ model of arbitrary spins).

$$Z = \prod_m (2s_m + 1)^{N_m}. \quad (4.3)$$

Examples below give an illustration to our result about completeness of Bethe's states for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ XXZ - model (Example 1 and 3) and for spin-1 XXZ model (Example 4).

Example 1. We compute firstly the quantities q_j , a_j (see (3.8)) and after this consider a numerical example. From (3.4) - (3.6) and (3.8) it follows

$$q_j = (-1)^i \frac{p_0 - n_j p_{i+1}}{y_i}.$$

Using Theorem 3.2 n.5 we obtain (see (3.9))

$$\begin{aligned} a_j = & (-1)^{i-1} n_j \left[\frac{\sum 2s_m N_m - 2l}{p_0} \right] + (-1)^i (n_j + q_j) \left(\frac{\sum 2s_m N_m - 2l}{p_0} \right) + \\ & + \frac{n_j}{p_0} \sum_{\{m: 2s_m \leq n_j\}} N_m \left(\frac{p_{i+1}}{y_i} (2s_m + 1) + (-1)^i q_{\chi} \right) + \sum_{\{m: 2s_m \leq n_j\}} N_m \left(1 - \frac{1}{y_i} (2s_m + 1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Let us consider the case when all spins are equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ and let N be the number of spins, then

i) $0 \leq j < m_1$ ($= \nu_0$). Then $r(j) = i = 0$ and $n_j = j$, $q_j = p_0 - j$,

$$a_j = -n_j \left[\frac{N - 2l}{p_0} \right] + N - 2l + \delta_{n_j, 1} \frac{N}{p_0} (2 - p_0 + q_{\chi}).$$

ii) $m_1 \leq j < m_2$ ($= \nu_0 + \nu_1$). Then $r(j) = 1$ and $n_j = 1 + (j - m_1)\nu_0$,
 $q_j = (p_0 - \nu_0)(j - m_1) - 1$,

$$a_j = n_j \left[\frac{N - 2l}{p_0} \right] - \frac{N - 2l}{\nu_0} (n_j - 1) - \delta_{n_j,1} \frac{N}{p_0} (2 - p_0 + q_\chi).$$

For example, $a_{m_1} = \left[\frac{N - 2l}{p_0} \right] - \frac{N}{p_0} (2 - p_0 + q_\chi)$.

iii) $m_2 \leq j < m_3$ ($= \nu_0 + \nu_1 + \nu_2$). Then $r(j) = 2$ and $n_j = \nu_0 + (j - m_2)(1 + \nu_0\nu_1)$,
 $q_j = p_0 - \nu_0 - (j - m_2)(1 - \nu_1(p_0 - \nu_0))$

$$a_j = -n_j \left[\frac{N - 2l}{p_0} \right] + \frac{N - 2l}{\nu_0 + \frac{1}{\nu_1}} (n_j + \frac{1}{\nu_1}).$$

Consequently,

$$a_{m_2} = -\nu_0 \left[\frac{N - 2l}{p_0} \right] + (N - 2l).$$

Now let us assume $p_0 = 3 + \frac{1}{3}$, $N = 5$. It is clear that in our case $\chi = 2$ (see (3.7)) and $q_\chi = p_0 - 2$. Below we give all solutions $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$ to the equation (3.11) when $0 \leq l \leq 2$ and compute the corresponding vacancy numbers $P_j = P_j(\lambda)$ (see (3.9)) and number of states $Z = Z(N, \frac{1}{2} \mid \{\lambda_k\})$ (see (3.10) and (3.12)):

$l = 0$	$\{0\}$	$P_j = 0$	$Z = 1$	$Z(5, \frac{1}{2} \mid 0) = 1$
$l = 1$	$\{1, 0, 0\}$ $\{0, 0, 1\}$	$P_1 = 3$ $P_3 = 0$	$Z = 4$ $Z = 1$	
$l = 2$	$\{0, 1, 0\}$ $\{2, 0, 0\}$ $\{0, 0, 2\}$ $\{1, 0, 1\}$	$P_2 = 1$ $P_1 = 1$ $P_3 = 0$ $\begin{cases} P_1 = 3 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 2$ $Z = 3$ $Z = 1$ $Z = 4$	$Z(5, \frac{1}{2} \mid 1) = 5$ $Z(5, \frac{1}{2} \mid 2) = 10$

Consequently,

$$Z(N = 5, \frac{1}{2}) = 2(1 + 5 + 10) = 32 = 2^5.$$

Note that our formula (3.10) for the number of Bethe's states with fixed spin l , namely $Z(N, s \mid l)$, works for $l \geq \sum s_m N_m$ as well as for small $l \leq \sum s_m N_m$.

In Appendix we consider two additional examples, one when all spins are equal to $\frac{1}{2}$, another one when all spins are equal to 1. The last example seems to be interesting because nonadmissible configuration appears.

Remark 1. It is easy to see that for fixed l and sufficiently big $N = \sum 2s_m N_m$ all vacancy numbers $P_j(\lambda)$ are non negative. It is not the case for particular N and we must consider really the configurations with

$$P_j(\lambda) + \lambda_j < 0 \quad \text{for some } j \quad (4.5)$$

in order to have a correct answer for $Z^{XXZ}(N, s \mid l)$. See Appendix, Example 4, $l = 4$, ($\clubsuit$). Let us remind that for XXX model the nonadmissible configurations(i.e. those satisfying (4.5)) give a zero contribution to the sum $Z^{XXX}(N, s \mid l)$, [K2].

Remark 2. One can rewrite the expressions (3.9) for vacancy numbers in the following form if $m_i \leq j < m_{i+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(\lambda) = & (-1)^{i-1} \left(\sum_m 2\Phi_{j,2s_m} \cdot N_m - n_j \left\{ \frac{\sum 2s_m N_m - 2l}{p_0} \right\} \right) - \\ & - \sum_k 2(-1)^{r(k)} \tilde{\theta}_{jk} \lambda_k - \delta_{j,m_{\alpha+1}-1} \lambda_{m_{\alpha+1}} + \delta_{j,m_{\alpha+1}} \lambda_{m_{\alpha+1}-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_{jk} = (-1)^{r(j)+r(k)} \frac{n_j q_k}{p_0}$, if $j \leq k$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{jk} = \tilde{\theta}_{kj}$.

Let us introduce the symmetric matrix $\Theta = (\tilde{\theta}_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m_{\alpha+1}}$. We can find the inverse matrix $\Theta^{-1} := (c_{ij})$ and compute its determinant.

Theorem 4.6. *Matrix elements c_{ij} of the inverse matrix Θ^{-1} are given by the following rules*

- i) $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$ and $c_{ij} = 0$, if $|i - j| \geq 2$.
- ii) $c_{j-1,j} = (-1)^{i-1}$, if $m_i \leq j < m_{i+1}$.

$$\text{iii) } c_{jj} = \begin{cases} 2(-1)^i, & \text{if } m_i \leq j < m_{i+1} - 1, \quad i \leq \alpha, \\ (-1)^i, & \text{if } j = m_{i+1} - 1, \quad i \leq \alpha, \\ (-1)^{\alpha+1}, & \text{if } j = m_{\alpha+1} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.7. *We have*

$$\det|\Theta^{-1}| = y_{\alpha+1}$$

The proofs of Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 follow from [KR2], Appendix A, and relations

$$y_i p_i + y_{i-1} p_{i+1} = p_0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq \alpha + 1.$$

■

Example 2. For $p_0 = 4 + \frac{1}{5}$ using Theorem 4.6 one can find

$$\Theta^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & & & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & & & & & \\ & -1 & 1 & 1 & & & & & \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 & & & & \\ & & & 1 & -2 & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & & & & & & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ & & & & & & & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

§5. Conclusion.

In our paper we have proved a very general combinatorial identity (Theorem 4.1). As a particular case we proved a combinatorial completeness of Bethe's states for generalized XXZ model (Corollary 4.5). One can construct a natural q -analog for the number of Bethe's states with fixed spin l (see (3.10)). Namely, let us consider a vector

$$\tilde{\lambda} = (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}_{m_{\alpha+1}}),$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_j = (-1)^{r(j)} \lambda_j$ and a matrix $E = (e_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq m_{\alpha+1}}$, where

$$e_{jk} = (-1)^{r(k)} (\delta_{j,k} - \delta_{j, m_{\alpha+1}-1} \cdot \delta_{k, m_{\alpha+1}} + \delta_{j, m_{\alpha+1}} \cdot \delta_{k, m_{\alpha+1}-1}).$$

Then it is easy to check that

$$P_j(\lambda) + \lambda_j = ((E - 2\Theta)\tilde{\lambda}^t + b^t)_j,$$

where $b = (b_1, \dots, b_{m_{\alpha+1}})$ and

$$b_j = (-1)^{r(j)} \left(n_j \left\{ \frac{\sum 2s_m N_m - 2l}{p_0} \right\} - \sum_m 2\Phi_{j, 2s_m} \cdot N_m \right).$$

We consider the following q -analog of (3.10)

$$\sum_{\lambda} q^{\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda} B \tilde{\lambda}^t} \prod_j \left[\frac{((E - B)\tilde{\lambda}^t + b^t)_j}{\lambda_j} \right]_q, \quad (5.1)$$

where summation is taken over all configurations $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m_{\alpha+1}} n_k \lambda_k = l, \quad \lambda_k \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad B = 2\Theta.$$

The thermodynamical limit of (5.1) (i.e. $N_m \rightarrow \infty$) comes to

$$\sum_{\lambda} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\lambda}B\tilde{\lambda}^t}}{\prod_j (q)_{\lambda_j}}, \quad (5.2)$$

summation in (5.2) is the same as in (5.1) and $(q)_n := (1-q) \cdots (1-q^n)$. Here $B = C_1 \otimes \Theta$ and $C_1 = (2)$ is the Cartan matrix of type A_1 .

It is an interesting problem to find a representation theory meaning of (5.2), when $B = C_k \otimes \Theta$ and C_k is the Cartan matrix of type A_k .

Another interesting question is that about a degeneration of Bethe's states for XXZ model into those for XXX one. More exactly, we had proved (see (4.3)) that

$$\prod_m (2s_m + 1)^{N_m} = \sum_{l=0}^N Z^{XXZ}(N, s \mid l), \quad (5.3)$$

where $N = \sum_m 2s_m N_m$ and $Z^{XXZ}(N, s \mid l)$ is given by (3.10).

On the other hand it follows from a combinatorial completeness of Bethe's states for XXX model (see [K1]) that

$$\prod_m (2s_m + 1)^{N_m} = \sum_{l \geq 0}^{\frac{1}{2}N} (N - 2l + 1) Z^{XXX}(N, s \mid l), \quad (5.4)$$

where $Z^{XXX}(N, s \mid l)$ is the multiplicity of $(\frac{N}{2} - l)$ - spin irreducible representation $V_{\frac{N}{2}-l}$ of $sl(2)$ in the tensor product

$$V_{s_1}^{\otimes N_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{s_m}^{\otimes N_m}.$$

It is an interesting question to find a combinatorial proof that

$$\text{RHS}(5.3) = \text{RHS}(5.4).$$

Another interesting task is to compare our results with those obtained in [KM]. We assume to consider this questions and also to study in more details the case $p_0 = \nu_0$ is an integer and all spins are equal to $\frac{\nu_0 - 2}{2}$ in the separate publications.

Acknowledgements. We are pleased to thank for hospitality our colleagues from Tokyo University, where this work was completed.

Appendix.

Example 3. Using the same notations as in Example 1, we consider the case $s = \frac{1}{2}$, $p_0 = 3 + \frac{1}{3}$, $N = 8$ and find the vacancy numbers $P_j(\lambda)$ and numbers of states $Z = Z(N, \frac{1}{2} \mid \{\lambda_k\})$:

$l = 0$	$\{0\}$	$P_j = 0$	$Z = 1$	$Z(8, \frac{1}{2} \mid 0) = 1$
$l = 1$	$\{1, 0, 0\}$ $\{0, 0, 1\}$	$P_1 = 5$ $P_3 = 1$	$Z = 6$ $Z = 2$	
$l = 2$	$\{2, 0, 0\}$ $\{0, 0, 2\}$ $\{0, 1, 0\}$ $\{1, 0, 1\}$	$P_1 = 3$ $P_3 = 1$ $P_2 = 2$ $\begin{cases} P_1 = 5 \\ P_3 = 1 \end{cases}$	$Z = 10$ $Z = 3$ $Z = 3$ $Z = 12$	$Z(8, \frac{1}{2} \mid 1) = 8$
$l = 3$	$\{3, 0, 0\}$ $\{0, 0, 3\}$ $\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$	$P_1 = 2$ $P_3 = 0$ $P_6 = 2$	$Z = 10$ $Z = 1$ $Z = 3$	$Z(8, \frac{1}{2} \mid 2) = 28$
	$\{1, 1, 0\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 4 \\ P_2 = 2 \end{cases}$	$Z = 15$	$Z(8, \frac{1}{2} \mid 3) = 56$
	$\{0, 1, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_2 = 4 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 5$	
	$\{2, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 4 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 15$	
	$\{1, 0, 2\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 6 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 7$	
$l = 4$	$\{4, 0, 0\}$	$P_1 = 0$	$Z = 1$	
	$\{0, 0, 4\}$	$P_3 = 0$	$Z = 1$	
	$\{0, 2, 0\}$	$P_2 = 0$	$Z = 1$	
	$\{0, 0, 0, 1\}$	$P_4 = 0$	$Z = 1$	
	$\{2, 1, 0\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 2 \\ P_2 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 6$	
	$\{0, 1, 2\}$	$\begin{cases} P_2 = 4 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 5$	
	$\{3, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 2 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 10$	
	$\{1, 0, 3\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 6 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 7$	
	$\{2, 0, 2\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 4 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 15$	

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\} & \begin{cases} P_1 = 4 \\ P_6 = 0 \end{cases} \quad Z = 5 \\
\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1\} & \begin{cases} P_3 = 2 \\ P_6 = 0 \end{cases} \quad Z = 3 \\
\{1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0\} & \begin{cases} P_1 = 4 \\ P_2 = 2 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases} \quad Z = 15
\end{array}$$

$$Z(8, \frac{1}{2} \mid 4) = 70$$

Consequently,

$$Z(N = 8, \frac{1}{2} \mid l) = \binom{8}{l}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq 4,$$

and

$$Z(N = 8, \frac{1}{2}) = 2(1 + 8 + 28 + 56) + 70 = 256 = 2^8.$$

Example 4. Let us consider the case when all spins are equal to 1 and let N be the number of spins. We compute firstly the quantities a_j (see (3.8)) and after this consider a numerical example.

i) $0 \leq j < m_1$ ($= \nu_0$). Then $r(j) = i = 0$ and $n_j = j$, $q_j = p_0 - j$,

$$a_j = \begin{cases} -j \left[\frac{2N - 2l}{p_0} \right] + 2N - 2l, & \text{if } j > 2 \\ -j \left[\frac{2N - 2l}{p_0} \right] + \frac{jN}{p_0}(3 + q_\chi) - 2l, & \text{if } j \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

ii) $m_1 \leq j < m_2$ ($= \nu_0 + \nu_1$). Then $r(j) = 1$ and $n_j = 1 + (j - m_1)\nu_0$,
 $q_j = (p_0 - \nu_0)(j - m_1) - 1$,

$$a_j = n_j \left[\frac{2N - 2l}{p_0} \right] - \frac{2N - 2l}{\nu_0}(n_j - 1) - \delta_{n_j, 1} \frac{N}{p_0}(3 - p_0 + q_\chi).$$

iii) $m_2 \leq j < m_3$ ($= \nu_0 + \nu_1 + \nu_2$). Then $r(j) = 2$ and $n_j = \nu_0 + (j - m_2)(1 + \nu_0\nu_1)$,
 $q_j = p_0 - \nu_0 - (j - m_2)(1 - \nu_1(p_0 - \nu_0))$,

$$a_{m_2} = -\nu_0 \left[\frac{2N - 2l}{p_0} \right] + 2N - 2l.$$

Now let us assume $p_0 = 3 + \frac{1}{3}$, $N = 5$. It is clear that $\chi = 6$ and $q_\chi = \frac{1}{3}$. Below we give all solutions $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$ to the equation (3.11) when $0 \leq l \leq 5$ and compute the corresponding vacancy numbers $P_j = P_j(\lambda)$ (see (3.9)) and number of states $Z = Z(N, 1 \mid \{\lambda_k\})$ (see (3.10) and (3.12)):

$l = 0$	$\{0\}$	$P_j = 0$	$Z = 1$	$Z(5, 1 \mid 0) = 1$
$l = 1$	$\{1, 0, 0\}$	$P_1 = 1$	$Z = 2$	
	$\{0, 0, 1\}$	$P_3 = 2$	$Z = 3$	$Z(5, 1 \mid 1) = 5$
$l = 2$	$\{2, 0, 0\}$	$P_1 = 0$	$Z = 1$	
	$\{0, 0, 2\}$	$P_3 = 1$	$Z = 3$	
	$\{0, 1, 0\}$	$P_2 = 4$	$Z = 5$	
	$\{1, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 2 \\ P_3 = 1 \end{cases}$	$Z = 6$	$Z(5, 1 \mid 2) = 15$
$l = 3$	$\{3, 0, 0\}$	$P_1 = -2$	$Z = 0$	
	$\{0, 0, 3\}$	$P_3 = 1$	$Z = 4$	
	$\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$	$P_6 = 1$	$Z = 2$	
	$\{1, 1, 0\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 0 \\ P_2 = 2 \end{cases}$	$Z = 3$	
	$\{0, 1, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_2 = 4 \\ P_3 = 1 \end{cases}$	$Z = 10$	
	$\{2, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 0 \\ P_3 = 1 \end{cases}$	$Z = 2$	
	$\{1, 0, 2\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 2 \\ P_3 = 1 \end{cases}$	$Z = 9$	
				$Z(5, 1 \mid 3) = 30$
$l = 4$	$\{4, 0, 0\}$	$P_1 = -3$	$Z = 0$	
	$\{0, 0, 4\}$	$P_3 = 0$	$Z = 1$	$(\clubsuit)$
	$\{0, 2, 0\}$	$P_2 = 2$	$Z = 6$	
	$\{0, 0, 0, 1\}$	$P_4 = -2$	$Z = -1$	
	$\{2, 1, 0\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = -1 \\ P_2 = 2 \end{cases}$	$Z = 0$	
	$\{0, 1, 2\}$	$\begin{cases} P_2 = 6 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 7$	
	$\{3, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = -1 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 0$	
	$\{1, 0, 3\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 3 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 4$	
	$\{2, 0, 2\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 1 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 3$	
	$\{1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 1 \\ P_6 = 2 \end{cases}$	$Z = 6$	
	$\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_3 = 2 \\ P_6 = 2 \end{cases}$	$Z = 9$	
	$\{1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 1 \\ P_2 = 4 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 10$	
				$Z(5, 1 \mid 4) = 45$

$l = 5$	$\{5, 0, 0\}$	$P_1 = -5$	$Z = 0$
	$\{0, 0, 5\}$	$P_3 = 0$	$Z = 1$
	$\{4, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = -3 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 0$
	$\{1, 0, 4\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 3 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 4$
	$\{3, 0, 2\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = -1 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 0$
	$\{2, 0, 3\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 1 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 3$
	$\{3, 1, 0\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = -3 \\ P_2 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 0$
	$\{0, 1, 3\}$	$\begin{cases} P_2 = 6 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 7$
	$\{1, 2, 0\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = -1 \\ P_2 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 0$
	$\{0, 2, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_2 = 2 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 6$
	$\{1, 0, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 1 \\ P_4 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 2$
	$\{0, 0, 1, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_3 = 2 \\ P_4 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 3$
	$\{0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_2 = 2 \\ P_6 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 3$
	$\{2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = -1 \\ P_6 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 0$
	$\{0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_3 = 2 \\ P_6 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 6$
	$\{1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 1 \\ P_3 = 2 \\ P_6 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 6$
	$\{2, 1, 1\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = -1 \\ P_2 = 2 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 0$
	$\{1, 1, 2\}$	$\begin{cases} P_1 = 1 \\ P_2 = 4 \\ P_3 = 0 \end{cases}$	$Z = 10$

$$Z(5, 1 \mid 5) = 51$$

$$Z(N = 5, 1) = 2(1 + 5 + 15 + 30 + 45) + 51 = 243 = 3^5.$$

References.

- [TS] Takahashi M., Suzuki M. One-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg model at finite temperatures, *Progr. of Theor. Phys.*, 1972, v. 48, N. 6B, p. 2187-2209.
- [K1] Kirillov A.N. Combinatorial identities and completeness of states for the generalized Heisenberg magnet, *Zap. Nauch. Sem. LOMI*, 1984, v. 131, p. 88-105.
- [K2] Kirillov A.N. On the Kostka-Green-Foulkes polynomials and Clebsch-Gordon numbers, *Journ. Geom. and Phys.*, 1988, v. 5, N. 3, p. 365-389.
- [KR1] Kirillov A.N., Reshetikhin N.Yu. Properties of kernels of integrable equations for XXZ model of arbitrary spin, *Zap. Nauch. Sem. LOMI*, 1985, v. 146, p. 47-91.
- [KR2] Kirillov A.N., Reshetikhin N.Yu. Exact solution of the integrable XXZ Heisenberg model with arbitrary spin, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 1987, v. 20, p. 1565-1597.
- [FT] Faddeev L.D., Takhtadjan L.A. Spectrum and scattering of excitations in one dimensional isotropic Heisenberg model, *Zap. Nauch. Sem. LOMI*, 1981, v. 109, p. 134.
- [EKK] Essler F., Korepin V.E., Schoutens K. Fine structure of the Bethe ansatz for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg XXX model, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 1992, v. 25, p. 4115-4126.
- [KM] Kedem R., McCoy B. Construction of modular branching functions from Bethe's equations in the 3-state Potts chain, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 1993, v. 74, p. 865.